UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

JOHN DOE, A/K/A ROY ANTHONY BROWN,	§ §	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
VS.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. C-04-329
	§	
BROOKS COUNTY DETENTION	§	
CENTER, et al,	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER DENYING MOTIONS FOR ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Pending are defendants' motions for entry of an order granting motion for summary judgment (D.E. 126 and 129) based upon the failure of plaintiff to file a response to the motions. Although dismissal for want of prosecution pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b) might be an appropriate sanction for failure to file a response to a motion for summary judgment, *Martinez v. Johnson*, 104 F.3d 769 (5th Cir. 1997), entry of summary judgment on the merits is not. *See discussion, Johnson v. Pettiford*, 442 F.3d 917 (5th Cir. 2006). The motions (D.E. 126, 129) are denied. The summary judgment motions are taken under advisement, and the undersigned may *sua sponte* consider dismissal under Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

ORDERED this 16th day of May, 2006.

B. JANKE ELLINGTON

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE